

## **Remarks/Arguments**

### **Specification**

5 The specification has been amended to include the phrase “using control logic circuitry” to conform the specification to the claims. This amended language was in claim 5 as originally filed with the application, now incorporated into claim 1. No new matter has been added.

10 The same paragraph of specification has been amended to correct a typographical error. “Decoder” has been replaced with “encoder”. This paragraph discusses “the MPEG encoder”, in the fourth line (application page 5 line 5), and the preceding application paragraph introduces “MPEG encoder 234” at page 4 line 23. The phrase “an MPEG decoder” in the last line of the paragraph was clearly intended to refer to “the MPEG encoder” introduced earlier in the specification. No new matter has been added.

### **Claims**

15 Claims 1-4 and 6 were rejected. Claim 5 was objected to, but allowable by the examiner if rewritten in independent form. Claim 1 is currently amended to include the limitations of claim 5. Claims 1-4 and 6 remain.

20 A typographical error of claim 5 referring to MPEG decoder has been corrected in the amended claim 1 language to refer to MPEG encoder, corresponding to the specification amendments above. Claim 5 is canceled. Original claim 1 contained the element MPEG encoder, and provides the antecedent basis for the correct claim language in the amendment. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-4 and 6 remain in the application.

25 Claims 2-4 and 6 depend on claim 1 and should be allowable along with amended claim 1.

Reconsideration and allowance of the remaining claims 1-4 and 6 is respectfully requested in view of the amendments.


## Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendment incorporating the limitations of previously allowable claim 5 into independent base claim 1, claim 1 as amended and dependent claims 2-4 and 6, which depend on claim 5 1, are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

If it is felt that direct communication would serve to advance prosecution of this case, the examiner is invited to call the attorney at the below listed telephone number.

10 Date: 10/19/2006

Respectfully submitted,



Michael W. Landry  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 38,216  
5098 Seachase St.  
San Diego CA 92130  
858-229-6801  
mlandry@iprsolutions.com